



Faculty of Science

# Algebraic Run-Time Optimization for Multiset Programming (Dynamic Symbolic Computation)

Fritz Henglein

Department of Computer Science  
University of Copenhagen  
Email: [henglein@diku.dk](mailto:henglein@diku.dk)

XLDI 2012 invited talk, Copenhagen, 2012-09-09



## Example problem

Gather, aggregate and interpret bulk data.

Example: A conjunctive join query (in SQL notation)

```
SELECT depName, acctBalance
FROM   depositors, accounts
WHERE  depId = acctId
```

How to evaluate such a query?



## Standard evaluation

Auxiliary definitions:

```
(f *** g) (x, y) = (f x, g y)
(p .==. q) (x, y) = (p x == q y)
prod s t = [ (x, y) | x <- s, y <- t ]
```

Query:

```
map (depName *** acctBalance)
    (filter (depId .==. acctId)
         (depositors 'prod' accounts))
```

- + Compositional, simple
- $\Theta(n^2)$  time complexity (not scalable)



## Dynamic symbolic computation

Query, with standard evaluation:

```
map (depName *** acctBalance)
    (filter (depId .==. acctId)
           (depositors 'prod' accounts))
```

Query, with dynamic symbolic computation:

```
map (depName *** acctBalance)
    (filter ((depId, acctId) is eqInt)
           (depositors 'prod' accounts))
```

Difference:

++  $\Theta(n)$  time complexity (scalable!)

Note: map, filter, prod, \*\*\* have different types.



## Lazy (symbolic) cross-products and unions

Add constructors for cross-product and union to **multiset** datatype:

```
data MSet a where
  0      :: MSet a
  S      :: a -> MSet a
  U      :: MSet a -> MSet a -> MSet a
  X      :: MSet a -> MSet b -> MSet (a, b)

list s = ...
```

- 0: Empty
- S x: Singleton
- s1 'U' s2: Union
- s1 'X' s2: **Cartesian product** (the new thing)



## So what?

- U: Append lists<sup>1</sup>.
  - Constant-time concatenation
  - Conversion to cons lists  $\cong$  difference lists (efficient! coherent!)
  - Alternative: Allow pattern-matching on U (efficient! coherent?)
- X: Symbolic products
  - Constant-time Cartesian product
  - Conversion to append lists  $\cong$  multiplying out (inefficient! coherent!)
  - **Alternative: Allow pattern-matching on X (efficient! coherent?)**

Idea: Exploit algebraic identities of Cartesian products for

- asymptotic performance improvements in *some* contexts
- constant-time overhead in *all* contexts

---

<sup>1</sup>Join lists, Boom lists, ropes, catenable lists



## Example: Count (cardinality)

```
count :: MSet a -> Int
count 0 = 0
count (S x) = 1
count (s1 'U' s2) = count s1 + count s2
count (s1 'X' s2) = count s1 * count s2
```

- Pattern match on new constructors X and U
- Exploitation of algebraic properties (here: homomorphic property)
  - No multiplying out of cross-product!



## Perform: Standard evaluation

```
perform :: (a -> b) -> MSet a -> MSet b
perform f 0                = 0
perform f (S x)            = S (f x)
perform f (s 'U' t)        = perform f s 'U' perform f t
perform f s                 = perform f (norm s)
```

where

`norm :: MSet a -> MSet a`

multiplies products out.





## Perform: Looking for asymptotic speedups

For which  $f$ ,  $s$ ,  $t$ :

perform  $f$  ( $s$  'X'  $t$ ) = ... (no norm ( $s$  'X'  $t$ )) ...?

Example:

```
perform fst (s 'X' t) = times (count t) s
```

where

```
times 0 s = 0
times 1 s = s
times n s = s 'U' times (n-1) s
```

Idea: Turn into evaluation rule. Need to pattern match on `fst!`



## Performable functions (symbolic arrows)

```

data Func a b where
  Func      :: (a -> b) -> Func a b
  Id        :: Func a a
  (:***:)   :: Func a b -> Func c d ->
              Func (a, c) (b, d)
  Fst       :: Func (a, b) a
  Snd       :: Func (a, b) b

ext :: Func (a b) -> (a -> b)
ext (Func f) x = f x
ext Id x       = x
...

```

- `Func f`: Ordinary function as performable function
- `f :***: g`: Parallel composition of `f`, `g`
- `ext f`: Ordinary function represented by performable function



## Perform: Definition

```
perform :: Func a b -> MSet a -> MSet b
perform f (s1 'U' s2) = perform f s1 'U' perform f s2
perform (f1 :***: f2) (s1 'X' s2) =
    perform f1 s1 'X' perform f2 s2
perform Fst (s1 'X' s2) = count s2 'times' s1
perform Snd (s1 'X' s2) = count s1 'times' s2
perform f s = perform f (norm s) -- default clause
...
```

- Clauses for X represent algebraic equalities that avoid multiplying out cross-product.
- Default clause corresponds to standard evaluation.
  - Catches all cases not caught by special matches.



## Symbolic representation of scaling operator

Idea: Introduce lazy constructor for times.

```
data MSet a where
  0      :: MSet a
  S      :: a -> MSet a
  U      :: MSet a -> MSet a -> MSet a
  X      :: MSet a -> MSet b -> MSet (a, b)
  (:.)  :: Integer -> MSet a -> MSet a
```

```
perform Fst (s1 'X' s2) = count s2 '(:.)' s1
perform Snd (s1 'X' s2) = count s1 '(:.)' s2
```

Plus additional clauses for `perform`, `select`, `count`, when applied to `(:.)`-constructor terms.



# Reduction

- We also need to *aggregate* and interpret multisets; e.g. compute sum, maximum, minimum, product.
- *Reduction* = unique homomorphism from  $(\text{Bag}(S), \cup, \emptyset)$  to commutative monoid  $(S, f, n)$

```

reduce :: ((a, a) -> a, a) -> Bag a -> a
reduce (f, n) () = n
reduce (f, n) (S x) = x
reduce (f, n) (s 'U' t) = f (reduce f n s, reduce f n t)
reduce (f, n) (k '∴' s) = ...?
reduce (f, n) (s 'X' t) = ...?
  
```

Problem: What to do about X and (∴)?



## Useful algebraic properties for reduction

Notation:

$$S \hat{\oplus} T = \text{map } \oplus (S \times T) \quad \text{for binary } \oplus$$

$$f(S) = \text{map } f(S) \quad \text{if } f : U \rightarrow V, S \subseteq U$$

$$\Sigma = \text{reduce}(+, 0)$$

Algebraic identities for certain functions mapped over cross-products:

$$\Sigma(S \hat{+} T) = |T| \cdot \Sigma S + |S| \cdot \Sigma T$$

$$\Sigma(S \hat{*} T) = \Sigma S * \Sigma T$$

$$\Sigma(S \hat{+} T)^2 = |T| \cdot \Sigma S^2 + |S| \cdot \Sigma T^2 + 2 \cdot (\Sigma S) * (\Sigma T)$$

$$\Sigma(S \hat{*} T)^2 = \Sigma S^2 * \Sigma T^2$$

$$\Pi(S \hat{*} T) = (\Pi S)^{|T|} * (\Pi T)^{|S|}$$



## Reduction

- Add constructors for  $+$ ,  $*$ ,  $^2$ , ... to `Func a b`
- Add constructor `:$` for mapping symbolic arrows over Cartesian products

```

reduce :: (Func (a, a) a, a) -> Bag a -> a
reduce (f, n) 0 = n
reduce (f, n) (S x) = x
reduce (f, n) (s 'U' t) =
    ext f (reduce f n s, reduce f n t)
reduce ((+::), 0) ((+::) :$ (s 'X' t)) =
    count t * reduce (+, 0) s +
    count s * mreduce (+, 0) t
... -- more algebraic simplifications
reduce (f, n) s = reduce (f, n) (norm s) -- default

```



## Application: Finite probability distributions

Represent finite probability spaces (“distributions”) with rational probabilities as multisets:

```
type Probability = Rational
type Dist a = MSet a
```

Probability of element  $x$ :  $\frac{\# \text{ occurrences of } x \text{ in } s}{|s|}$

Probabilistic choice between two distributions:

```
choice :: Probability -> Dist a -> Dist a -> Dist a
choice p s t =
  let v = numerator p * count t
      w = (denominator p - numerator p) * count s
  in (v ‘:.‘ s) ‘U‘ (w ‘:.‘ t)
```





## Computing mean and variance

```
msum = reduce ((+:), 0)

mean p = msum p / count p

variance p =
  let n = count p           -- sum X^0
      s = msum p            -- sum X^1
      s2 = msum (perform Sq p) -- sum X^2
  in (n * s2 - s^2) / n^2
```

- + Compositional, simple
- + Linear time for independent random variables (products of distributions)



## Fuzzy sets

Idea: Extend admissible range of numbers to scale with; e.g.

```
data MSet a where
  0    :: MSet a
  S    :: a -> MSet a
  U    :: MSet a -> MSet a -> MSet a
  X    :: MSet a -> MSet b -> MSet (a, b)
  (:. ) :: Float -> MSet a -> MSet a
```

Allow

- nonnegative integers: *hybrid sets*;
- reals in  $[0 \dots 1]$ : *fuzzy sets*;
- reals in  $[0 \dots \infty]$ : *fuzzy multisets*;
- all reals: *fuzzy hybrid sets*



## Summary: Dynamic symbolic computation

Method for adding symbolic processing step by step to base implementation:

- 1 Identify (asymptotically) expensive operation
- 2 Introduce symbolic data constructor for its result
- 3 *Exploit algebraic properties during evaluation*
  - Not just lazy evaluation
- 4 This may lead to new needs/opportunities for applying dynamic symbolic computation: Repeat!



## Relation to query optimization

Implementation performs classical algebraic query optimizations, including

- filter promotion (performing selections early)
- join introduction (replacing product followed by selection by join)
- join composition (combining join conditions to avoid intermediate multiplying out)

Observe:

- Done at run-time
- No static preprocessing
- Data-dependent optimization possible.
- Deforestation of intermediate materialized data structures not necessary due to lazy evaluation.



# Staged symbolic computation

- 1 Static symbolic computation
  - All operations treated as constructors (“abstract syntax tree”)
  - Rewriting on open terms (unknown/parametric input)
  - Rewriting by interpretation
- 2 Standard evaluation
  - Few operations treated as constructors (only value constructors)
  - Rewriting on ground terms only
  - Compiled evaluation (“normalization by evaluation”)

- + : Staging: Symbolic operations executed only once
- : Narrowing or no narrowing for free variables? (Lots of rewrite rules)
- : Standard evaluation steps implemented twice
- : Interpreted symbolic computation
- : Compositionality?



## ... and dynamic symbolic computation

- ① Symbolic and standard computation steps intermixed
  - *Some operations treated as constructors (driven by asymptotic performance)*
  - Ground terms only
  - Compiled symbolic computation and evaluation
- : Unstaged: Symbolic operations incur (constant-time) run-time overhead
- : Ground terms only: No need for narrowing (Few rewrite rules)
- : Standard evaluation steps implemented only once
- : Compiled symbolic computation
- : Compositionality!



## Compositionality: Functional abstraction

```
module AccountManagement where
  accts = ...
  deps = ...
  countFilter :: Pred (Account, Depositor) -> Int
  countFilter pred =
    count (select pred (accts 'X' deps))
```

```
module Run where
  res = ( countFilter ((acctId, depId) 'Is' eqInt32),
         countFilter TT )
```



## Related work

In:

Henglein, *Dynamic Symbolic Computation for Domain-Specific Language Implementation*. Proc. LOPSTR 2011, Springer LNCS, to appear in 2012





## Future work

- Conjectures: Subsumes all static algebraic relational algebra optimizations; properly improves upon SQL-query optimization
- Predictable performance: Compositional performance analysis by abstract interpretation?
- Robust performance: Performance closed under which local transformations?
- Willard-Goyal-Paige query optimization for complex join queries on more than 2 multisets
- High-performance implementation for querying distributed data sources
- Scalable data-parallel algorithms and implementations (key problem: join)



# Perspectives for XLDI

- Methodology for cross-model DSL design and agile implementation
  - algebraic properties
  - for symbolic computation improving asymptotic performance
  - added step by step to canonical, “obviously correct” implementation
- Alternative to embedding external DSL as abstract syntax



# End of talk

Thank you!

